arXiv:1608.02204v1 [math.DS] 7 Aug 2016

Multidimensional viscosity solutions theory of semi-linear partial differential equations

Shuzhen Yang*†

Abstract: In this study, we concern the multidimensional viscosity solutions theory of a kind of semi-linear partial differential equations (PDEs). A new definition of viscosity solution for this multidimensional semi-linear PDEs which is related to a type of multidimensional backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs) is given. Further more, we establish the existence and uniqueness results for the viscosity solution of this semi-linear PDEs via the comparison theorem of the related BSDEs and a smooth approximation technique.

Keywords: multidimensional PDEs; BSDEs; viscosity solution; comparison theorem

1 Introduction

Since the notion of viscosity solution was invented by Crandall and Lions [6] which has become a universal tool to study such a broad fundamental subject. For detailed exposition of such a tool and the related general dynamic programming theory on optimal stochastic control, we refer Crandall, Ishii and Lions [5] for the survey of viscosity solution theory, and the monographs of Fleming and Soner [10]; refer [15, 16, 17, 18] for optimal control of diffusion processes and viscosity solution theory of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations. For viscosity solution is a big literature, we don't give much more details here.

Ishii and Koike first studied the multidimensional viscosity solutions of a monotone systems of second-order elliptic partial differential equations (PDEs) in [13]. Later Koike showed the uniqueness of viscosity solutions for monotone systems of fully nonlinear PDEs under Dirichlet condition [14]. In addition, from a stochastic interpretation point of view, Pardoux et al [19], Buckdahn and Hu [4] studied a kind of systems of coupled Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations and established the related viscosity solution. Also, systems of coupled Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman-Isaacs equations and their interpretation through stochastic differential games, see Qian [27].

In this paper, we aim to study the viscosity solution of the following coupled semi-linear partial differential

*Institute for Financial study, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, PR China and Center for Mathematical Economics, Bielefeld University, Germany, (yangsz@sdu.edu.cn).

†This work was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds of Shandong University (2015GN015); Supported by the Promotive research fund for excellent young and middle-aged scientists of Shandong Province (BS2015SF004); Supported by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation funded project (2015M570584).

equation,

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t u^i(t,x)+\mathcal{L}u^i(t,x)+f(x,u(t,x),\sigma(x)\partial_x u^i(t,x))=0;\\&u^i(T,x)=\Phi^i(x),\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d;\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2}\sigma\sigma^T(x)\partial_{xx}+b(x)\partial_x.$$

Notice that, the model (1.1) is similar with the one in [13], but our assumptions (specially, the conditions (A.1)and (A.2) in [13] and Assumption 4.1 in our paper) and method are very different from them. We will deal with our model via a multidimensional BSDEs and a smooth approximation technique.

In order to introduce the multidimensional viscosity solution theory of semi-linear partial differential equations of our model. Let us quickly scan the theory of backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs) which be related with semi-linear PDEs, it is well known that the nonlinear BSDEs was first introduced by Pardoux and Peng [21]. Independently, Duffie and Epstein [8] presented a stochastic differential recursive utility which corresponds to the solution of a particular BSDEs. Then the BSDEs point of view gives a simple formulation of recursive utilities (see [9]). Since then, the classical stochastic optimal control problem has been generalized to a so called "stochastic recursive optimal control problem" in which the cost functional is described by the solution of BSDEs. Peng [26] obtained the Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman equation for this kind of problem and proved that the value function is its viscosity solution. In [25], Peng generalized his results and originally introduced the notion of stochastic backward semigroups which allows him to prove the dynamic programming principle in a very straightforward way. This backward semigroup approach is proved to be a useful tool for stochastic optimal control problems. Further study see [2, 3, 28].

Notice that, one dimensional BSDEs is related with the stochastic recursive optimal control problem, and multidimensional BSDEs is related to multidimensional stochastic recursive problem, i.e., consider the following forward-backward systems (for simplicity, n=2, d=1, more details see Section 2).

$$\begin{aligned}dX_s^{t,x}&=b(X_s^{t,x})ds+\sigma(X_s^{t,x})dW_s,\\X_t^{t,x}&=x,\end{aligned}\tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&dY_s^{1,t,x}=-f(X_s^{t,x},Y_s^{t,x},Z_s^{1,t,x})ds+Z_s^{1,t,x}dW_s;\\&dY_s^{2,t,x}=-g(X_s^{t,x},Y_s^{t,x},Z_s^{2,t,x})ds+Z_s^{2,t,x}dW_s;\\&Y_T^{1,t,x}=\Phi^1(X_T^{t,x}),\ Y_T^{2,t,x}=\Phi^2(X_T^{t,x}),\end{aligned}\tag{1.3}$$

where $Y^{t,x}=(Y^{1,t,x},Y^{2,t,x})$. Then, we can define the multidimensional utility value functions as,

$$u(t,x)=Y_t^{1,t,x},\ v(t,x)=Y_t^{2,t,x}.$$

If we suppose (u,v) is smooth function, we can relate the value functions (u,v) with the following partial

differential equations,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t u(t,x) + \mathcal{L}u(t,x) + f(x,u(t,x),v(t,x),\sigma(x)\partial_x u(t,x)) = 0;\\
&u(T,x) = \Phi^1(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R};\\
&\partial_t v(t,x) + \mathcal{L}v(t,x) + g(x,u(t,x),v(t,x),\sigma(x)\partial_x v(t,x)) = 0;\\
&v(T,x) = \Phi^2(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},
\end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma(x))^2\partial_{xx} + b(x)\partial_x.$$

Notice that, u, v are coupled by f, g, if we suppose f, g satisfy Lipschatiz conditions (see Section 2, Assumption 2.3), we can't get the relation between the solution of the forward-backward systems (1.2), (1.3) and the solution of PDEs (1.4) by classical solution theory.

In the following, we give a definition of multidimensional viscosity solution for PDEs (1.4) which is similar with the classical definition of one dimensional viscosity solution, but the property of which is different from it. Then, we add a monotonic condition of f, g on (u, v) to guarantee the comparison theorem for BSDEs (1.3), by which we prove that the solution of BSDEs (1.3) is the viscosity solution of PDEs (1.4), this is the existence result. For the uniqueness, we show that the solution of BSDEs (1.3) is the maximum viscosity subsolution and the minimum viscosity supersolution of PDEs (1.4) via another condition on f, g (which is different with the conditions (A1) and (A2) in [13]) and a smooth approximation technique.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we formulate our model and present some fundamental results on BSDEs theory. The existence results for the viscosity solution of PDEs (1.4) is established in Section 3. In Section 4, we prove that the solution of BSDEs (1.3) is the unique viscosity solution of PDEs (1.4).

2 Preliminary

2.1 Formulation of the problem

Let $\Omega = C([0,T];\mathbb{R})$ and P be the Wiener measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$. We denote by $W = (W(t)_{t\in[0,T]})$ the canonical Wiener process, with $W(t,\omega) = \omega(t)$, $t \in [0,T]$, $\omega \in \Omega$. For any $t \in [0,T]$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}_t$ the P-completion of $\sigma(W(s), s \in [0,t])$, and define the following spaces of processes:

$\mathcal{S}^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^2) := \{\psi$ continuous and progressively measurable; $\sup_{0\le t\le T} E[|\psi(t)|^2] < \infty\}$;

$\mathcal{H}^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}) := \{\psi$ progressively measurable; $E[\int_0^T |\psi(t)|^2\, dt] < \infty\}$;

$L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_T,P) := \{\xi$ random variable; $E[|\xi|^2] < \infty\}$.

Let us consider the following forward-backward system. Without loss of generality, we consider the case $n = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
dX_s^{t,x} &= b(X_s^{t,x})ds + \sigma(X_s^{t,x})dW_s;\\
X_t^{t,x} &= x,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
dY_s^{1,t,x} &= -f(X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{1,t,x})ds + Z_s^{1,t,x}dW_s; \\
dY_s^{2,t,x} &= -g(X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{2,t,x})ds + Z_s^{2,t,x}dW_s; \\
Y_T^{1,t,x} &= \Phi^1(X_T^{t,x}),\ Y_T^{2,t,x} = \Phi^2(X_T^{t,x}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}
$$

where $Y^{t,x} = (Y^{1,t,x}, Y^{2,t,x})$ and

$$b, \sigma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R};$$

$$f, g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R};$$

$$\Phi^1, \Phi^2 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}.$$

In order to obtain the well-posedness of Forward-Backward SDEs (2.1) and (2.2), we denote by $h := f, g;\ \Phi := \Phi^1, \Phi^2$ and assume that b, σ, h, Φ are deterministic functions and satisfy the following conditions:

Assumption 2.1 *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$| b(x^1) - b(x^2) | + | \sigma(x^1) - \sigma(x^2) | \leq C | x^1 - x^2 |;$$

$$| \Phi(x^1) - \Phi(x^2) | \leq C | x^1 - x^2 |,$$

$\forall x^1, x^2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2.2 *Suppose b, σ satisfy Assumption 2.1. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$| b(x) | + | \sigma(x) | \leq C(1 + | x |);$$

$$| \Phi(x) | \leq C(1 + | x |), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Assumption 2.3 *Let h be Lipschitz continuous in x, y, z, i.e.*

$$|h(x_1, y_1, z_1) - h(x_2, y_2, z_2)| \leq C\big(|x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2| + |z_1 - z_2| \big),$$

and linear growth, i.e. there exists a positive constant C such that

$$|h(x_1, y_1, z_1)| \leq C(|x_1| + |y_1| + |z_1| + 1),$$

$\forall\ x = (x_1, x_2), y_1, y_2, z = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

The following assumption guarantees the comparison theorem of BSDEs (2.2).

Assumption 2.4 *Let f and g satisfy the following monotonic conditions, i.e.,*

$$f(x, y, y_1, z) \geq f(x, y, y_2, z);$$

$$g(x, y_1, y, z) \geq g(x, y_2, y, z),$$

$\forall\ x, y_1, y_2, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ *with* $y_1 \geq y_2$.

Under the above assumptions, we have the following theorems.

Theorem 2.5 *Let b, σ satisfy Assumption 2.1, then there exists a unique adapted solution X for equation (2.1).*

Based on the theory of BSDEs, we have the next existence and uniqueness results. We refer [21] and [9] for the theory of BSDEs.

Theorem 2.6 *Let b, σ satisfy Assumption 2.1 and f, g satisfy Assumption 2.3, then there exists a unique adapted solutions (Y, Z) in $\mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{H}^2$ solving equation (2.2).*

The following comparison theorem for equations (2.3) and (2.4) is the key step when proving the existence of the viscosity solution of semi-linear PDEs. For reader convenience, we show the proof in the Appendix.

$$Y_{1,i}(t) = \xi_{1,i} + \int_t^T f_i(Y_i(s), Z_{1,i}(s))ds - \int_t^T Z_{1,i}(s)dW(s); \tag{2.3}$$

$$Y_{2,i}(t) = \xi_{2,i} + \int_t^T g_i(Y_i(s), Z_{2,i}(s))ds - \int_t^T Z_{2,i}(s)dW(s), \tag{2.4}$$

where $Y_i = (Y_{1,i}, Y_{2,i})$, $i = 1, 2$.

Theorem 2.7 *If f_i, g_i satisfy Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4; $\xi_{1,i}, \xi_{2,i} \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P)$ and*

$$f_1 \leq f_2, g_1 \leq g_2; \quad \xi_{1,1} \leq \xi_{1,2}, \xi_{2,1} \leq \xi_{2,2}, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s. \tag{2.5}$$

then we have comparison results

$$Y_{1,1} \leq Y_{1,2}, \quad Y_{2,1} \leq Y_{2,2}, \quad a.s., a.e.$$

2.2 Classical solution of Forward Backward SDEs

Let $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Given the following forward-backward SDEs:

$$\begin{cases} X_s^{t,x} = x + \int_t^s b(X_r^{t,x})dr + \int_t^s \sigma(X_r^{t,x})dW_r; \\ Y_s^{t,x} = g(X_T^{t,x}) - \int_s^T h(X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x})dr - \int_s^T Z_r^{t,x}dW_r, \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

for every $s \in [t, T]$.

We recall some notions and results in Pardoux and Peng [22]. $\mathcal{C}^n(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{C}_b^n(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{C}_p^n(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ will denote respectively the set of functions of class $\mathcal{C}^n$ from $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{R}$, the set of those functions of class $\mathcal{C}_b^n$ whose partial derivatives of order less than or equal to n are bounded, and the set of those functions of class $\mathcal{C}_p^n$ which, together with all their partial derivatives of order less than or equal to n, grow at most like a polynomial function of the variable x at infinity.

Assumption 2.8 *$b, \sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{l,b}^3(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ with the first order partial derivatives in x are bounded, as well as their derivatives of order one and two with respect to x.*

Assumption 2.9 *h, g are of class $\mathcal{C}_p^3$ and the first order partial derivatives in x, y and z are bounded, as well as their derivatives of up to order two with respect to x, y, z.*

In Pardoux and Peng [22], under Assumptions 2.8 and 2.9, Y and Z are related in the following sense:

$$\begin{cases} Y_s^{t,x} = u(s, X_s^{t,x}); \\ Z_s^{t,x} = \partial_x u(s, X_s^{t,x})\sigma(X_s^{t,x}), \quad P - a.s. \end{cases}$$

Equation (2.6) be related to the following partial differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t,x) + \mathcal{L}u(t,x) = h(x, u(t,x), \partial_x u(t,x)\sigma(x)); \\ u(T,x) = g(x), \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

where ∂ is the gradient operator and

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma(x))^2 \partial_{xx}^2 + b(x)\partial_x.$$

Theorem 2.10 *Suppose Assumptions 2.8 and 2.9 hold. If u belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{1,2}$ and (u, v) is the solution of equation (2.7) such that (u, v) is uniformly Lipschitz continuous and bounded by $C(1 + |x|)$, then we have $u(t, x) = Y^{t,x}(t)$, for each $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$, where $(X^{t,x}(s), Y^{t,x}(s), Z^{t,x}(s))_{t \le s \le T}$ is the unique solution of forward Backward SDE (2.6).*

Theorem 2.11 *Under Assumptions 2.8 and 2.9, the function $u(t, x) = Y^{t,x}(t)$ is the unique $\mathcal{C}^{1,2}$-solution of PDE (2.7).*

3 The existence of viscosity solution

In this section, we will prove that the solution of BSDEs solves the multidimensional PDEs in the mean of viscosity solution. Consider the following PDEs:

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t u(t,x) + \mathcal{L}u(t,x) + f(x, u, v, \sigma(x)\partial_x u(t,x)) = 0; \\ &u(T,x) = \Phi^1(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}; \\ &\partial_t v(t,x) + \mathcal{L}v(t,x) + g(x, u, v, \sigma(x)\partial_x v(t,x)) = 0; \\ &v(T,x) = \Phi^2(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma(x))^2 \partial_{xx} + b(x)\partial_x.$$

For given initial data (t,x), we define

$$u(t,x) := Y_t^{1,t,x}, v(t,x) := Y_t^{2,t,x},$$

where $(Y^{1,t,x}, Y^{2,t,x})$ is the solution of BSDEs (2.2). By the markov property of BSDEs (2.1) and (2.2), we have the following results:

Lemma 3.1 *For $t \leq s$, we have*

$$u(s, X_s^{t,x}) = Y_s^{1,t,x},\ v(s, X_s^{t,x}) = Y_s^{2,t,x}.$$

Proof: This lemma really come from the paper [25], so we omit it.

Now, we first give the definition of the viscosity solution of PDEs (3.1) as follows.

Definition 3.2 *Let $w = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{C}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{C}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})$, we say that w is a viscosity subsolution of (3.1), if $\forall\ \Gamma = (\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) \in \mathcal{C}^{1,2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{C}^{1,2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})$ at $(t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2)$ satisfying $\Gamma_1 \geq w_1$ and $\Gamma_2 \geq w_2$ on $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\Gamma_1(t_1, x_1) = w_1(t_1, x_1),\ \Gamma_2(t_2, x_2) = w_2(t_2, x_2)$, we have*

$$\partial_t \Gamma_1(t_1, x_1) + \mathcal{L}\Gamma_1(t_1, x_1) + f(x_1, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \sigma(x_1)\partial_x \Gamma_1(t_1, x_1)) \geq 0;$$

$$\partial_t \Gamma_2(t_2, x_2) + \mathcal{L}\Gamma_2(t_2, x_2) + g(x_2, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \sigma(x_2)\partial_x \Gamma_2(t_2, x_2)) \geq 0.$$

We say that w is a viscosity supersolution of (3.1), if $\forall\ \Gamma = (\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) \in \mathcal{C}^{1,2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{C}^{1,2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})$ at $(t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2)$ satisfying $\Gamma_1 \leq w_1$ and $\Gamma_2 \leq w_2$ on $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\Gamma_1(t_1, x_1) = w_1(t_1, x_1),\ \Gamma_1(t_2, x_2) = w_2(t_2, x_2)$, we have

$$\partial_t \Gamma_1(t_1, x_1) + \mathcal{L}\Gamma_1(t_1, x_1) + f(x_1, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \sigma(x_1)\partial_x \Gamma_1(t_1, x_1)) \leq 0;$$

$$\partial_t \Gamma_2(t_2, x_2) + \mathcal{L}\Gamma_2(t_2, x_2) + g(x_2, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \sigma(x_2)\partial_x \Gamma_2(t_2, x_2)) \leq 0.$$

We say that w is a viscosity solution of (3.1) if it is both a viscosity supersolution and a viscosity subsolution of (3.1).

Remark 3.3 *Notice that, the Definition 3.2 for multidimensional viscosity solution is different from one dimensional case for $\Gamma_1 - w_1$ and $\Gamma_1 - w_2$ may take minimum (maximum) values at different points, i.e., $(t_1, x_1) \neq (t_2, x_2)$. Thus, we may consider the following definition for viscosity solution:*

Let $w = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{C}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{C}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})$, we say that w is a viscosity subsolution of (3.1), if $\forall\ \Gamma = (\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) \in \mathcal{C}^{1,2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{C}^{1,2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})$ at $(t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2)$ satisfying $\Gamma_1 \geq w_1$ and $\Gamma_2 \geq w_2$ on $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\Gamma_1(t_1, x_1) = w_1(t_1, x_1),\ \Gamma_1(t_2, x_2) = w_2(t_2, x_2)$, we have

$$\partial_t \Gamma_1(t_1, x_1) + \mathcal{L}\Gamma_1(t_1, x_1) + f(x_1, w_1, w_2, \sigma(t_1, x_1)\partial_x \Gamma_1(t_1, x_1)) \geq 0;$$

$$\partial_t \Gamma_2(t_2, x_2) + \mathcal{L}\Gamma_2(t_2, x_2) + g(x_2, w_1, w_2, \sigma(t_2, x_2)\partial_x \Gamma_2(t_2, x_2)) \geq 0.$$

Notice that, $\Gamma_1 - w_1$ and $\Gamma_1 - w_2$ may take minimum values at different points, thus the above definition of multidimensional viscosity solution is different from the one in Definition 3.2. In this study, we does not pay attention to the property of definition of viscosity solution and we will choose the Definition 3.2 to study the viscosity solution of PDEs (3.1).

Before to show the solution of BSDEs (2.2) is the multidimensional viscosity solution of the semi-nonlinear PDEs (3.1), let us give some lemmas which are used later.

Given $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \in \mathcal{C}^{1,2}([t,T] \times \mathbb{R})$ and assume f, g satisfy the Lipschitz and linear growth conditions.

$$\begin{aligned} F_{11}(s,x,y_1,y_2,z_1) = \quad & \partial_s \Gamma_1(s,x) + \mathcal{L}\Gamma_1(s,x) \\ & + f(x, y_1 + \Gamma_1(s,x), y_2 + \Gamma_2(s,x), z_1 + \partial_x \Gamma_1(s,x)\sigma(x)); \\ F_{12}(s,x,y_1,y_2,z_2) = \quad & \partial_s \Gamma_2(s,x) + \mathcal{L}\Gamma_2(s,x) \\ & + g(x, y_1 + \Gamma_1(s,x), y_2 + \Gamma_2(s,x), z_2 + \partial_x \Gamma_2(s,x)\sigma(x)), \end{aligned}$$

$\forall\ (s,x,y_1,y_2,z_1,z_2) \in [t,T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Consider the following BSDEs: $s \in [t, t+\delta]$,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_s^1 &= \textstyle\int_s^{t+\delta} F_{11}(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^1, Y_r^2, Z_r^1)dr - \int_s^{t+\delta} Z_r^1 dW_r; \\ Y_s^2 &= \textstyle\int_s^{t+\delta} F_{12}(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^1, Y_r^2, Z_r^2)dr - \int_s^{t+\delta} Z_r^2 dW_r, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Y_s^{1,0} &= \Gamma_1(t+\delta, X_{t+\delta}^{t,x}) + \textstyle\int_s^{t+\delta} f(X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{1,0}, Y_r^{2,0}, Z_r^{1,0})dr - \int_s^{t+\delta} Z_r^{1,0} dW_r; \\ Y_s^{2,0} &= \Gamma_2(t+\delta, X_{t+\delta}^{t,x}) + \textstyle\int_s^{t+\delta} g(X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{1,0}, Y_r^{2,0}, Z_r^{2,0})dr - \int_s^{t+\delta} Z_r^{2,0} dW_r. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Applying Itô formula, we obtain the following lemma:

Lemma 3.4 *For any $s \in [t, t+\delta]$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} Y_s^1 &= Y_s^{1,0} - \Gamma_1(s, X_s^{t,x}); \\ Y_s^2 &= Y_s^{2,0} - \Gamma_2(s, X_s^{t,x}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Then, consider the BSDEs: $s \in [t, t+\delta]$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_s^{1,1} &= \textstyle\int_s^{t+\delta} F_{11}(r, x, Y_r^{1,1}, Y_r^{2,1}, Z_r^{1,1})dr - \int_s^{t+\delta} Z_r^{1,1} dW_r; \\ Y_s^{2,1} &= \textstyle\int_s^{t+\delta} F_{12}(r, x, Y_r^{1,1}, Y_r^{2,1}, Z_r^{2,1})dr - \int_s^{t+\delta} Z_r^{2,1} dW_r. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By basic theory of SDE and BSDEs, we can obtain the next results:

Lemma 3.5 *We have*

$$| Y_t^1 - Y_t^{1,1} | \leq C\delta^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad | Y_t^2 - Y_t^{2,1} | \leq C\delta^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Also, we have the representation of $Y^{1,1}$ and $Y^{2,1}$.

Lemma 3.6 *We have*

$$Y_t^{1,1} = Y_t^{0,1}, \quad Y_t^{2,1} = Y_t^{0,2},$$

where $Y^{0,1}$ and $Y^{0,2}$ are the solution of the following differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} -dY_s^{0,1} &= \int_s^{t+\delta} F_{11}(s, x, Y^{0,1}, Y^{0,2}, 0, 0)dr, \quad Y_{t+\delta}^{0,1} = 0, \quad s \in [t, t+\delta]; \\ -dY_s^{0,2} &= \int_s^{t+\delta} F_{12}(s, x, Y^{0,1}, Y^{0,2}, 0, 0)dr, \quad Y_{t+\delta}^{0,2} = 0, \quad s \in [t, t+\delta], \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Proof: Notice that, F_{11} and F_{12} are deterministic functions, we obtain that $Z_s^{1,1} = 0$, $Z_s^{2,1} = 0$ and $Y_s^{1,1} = Y_s^{0,1}$, $Y_s^{2,1} = Y_s^{0,2}$, $s \in [t, t+\delta]$.

By the basic theory of BSDEs, we have the following estimates of the continuity of $(u(t,x), v(t,x))$ with respect to t, x, see Peng [26, 25].

Lemma 3.7 *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, $\forall t \in [0,T]$ and $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*
(i) $| u(t,x) - u(t,x') | + | v(t,x) - v(t,x') | \leq C | x - x' |$;
(ii) $| u(t,x) | + | v(t,x) | \leq C(1 + | x |)$.

Lemma 3.8 *The function (u, v) is $\frac{1}{2}$ Hölder continuous in t.*

Proof. Set $(t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0,T]$ and $\delta > 0$. By Lemma 3.1, $u(s, X_s^{t,x}) = Y_s^{1,t,x}$ and $v(s, X_s^{t,x}) = Y_t^{2,t,x}$, $\forall\, \varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(t,x) &= u(t+\delta, X_{t+\delta}^{t,x}) + \int_t^{t+\delta} f(X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{1,t,x})ds - \int_t^{t+\delta} Z_s^{1,t,x} dW_s; \\ v(t,x) &= v(t+\delta, X_{t+\delta}^{t,x}) + \int_t^{t+\delta} g(X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{2,t,x})ds - \int_t^{t+\delta} Z_s^{2,t,x} dW_s. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

We first show that there exists $C > 0$ such that $| u(t+\delta, x) - u(t,x) | \leq C\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By the first equality of (3.7), we have

$$u(t+\delta, x) - u(t,x) = I_\delta^1 + I_\delta^2, \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_\delta^1 &= \quad u(t+\delta, x) - u(t+\delta, X_{t+\delta}^{t,x}), \\ I_\delta^2 &= \quad -\int_t^{t+\delta} f(X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{1,t,x})ds + \int_t^{t+\delta} Z_s^{1,t,x} dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.7, note that u is 1-Hölder continuous in x. We have

$$E\big[\, \big|I_\delta^1\big| \,\big] \leq E\big[\, \big|u(t+\delta, x) - u(t+\delta, X_{t+\delta}^{t,x,u})\big| \,\big] \leq CE\big[\, \big|X_{t+\delta}^{t,x,u} - x\big| \,\big].$$

Then by $E\big[\, \big|X_{t+\delta}^{t,x,u} - x\big|^2 \,\big] \leq C\delta$ (C will change line by line),

$$\big|I_\delta^1\big| \leq C\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

According to BSDEs (3.7), I_δ^2 can be rewritten as

$$E\big[\,\big|I_\delta^2\big|\,\big] = E\Big[\,\Big|\int_t^{t+\delta} f(X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{1,t,x})ds - \int_t^{t+\delta} Z_s^{1,t,x} dW_s\Big|\,\Big].$$

It yields that

$$\big|I_\delta^2\big| \leq \quad \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\{\big[E\int_t^{t+\delta}\big|f(X_s^{t,x,u}, Y_s^{t,x,u}, Z_s^{1,t,x})\big|^2 ds\big]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \big[E\int_t^{t+\delta}\big|Z_s^{1,t,x}\big|^2 ds\big]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\} \leq C\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus, we have

$$|u(t+\delta, x) - u(t,x)| \leq C\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$|v(t+\delta, x) - v(t,x)| \leq C\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.9 *Let b, σ satisfy Assumptions 2.1; f, g satisfy Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4. Then (u, v) is viscosity solution of the semi-linear partial differential equation (3.1).*

Proof: By Lemma 3.8, we have that (u, v) is a continuous function of $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$. Next, we prove that (u, v) is viscosity solution of the PDEs (3.1).

Step 1: For any $\Gamma = (\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) \in \mathcal{C}^{1,2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{C}^{1,2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$, let $\Gamma_1 \geq u$ and $\Gamma_2 \geq v$ on $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}$ and $(\Gamma_1(t,x), \Gamma_2(t_0,x_0)) = (u(t,x), v(t_0,x_0))$ with $t \leq t_0$.

By equation (3.1) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(t,x) &= E[\textstyle\int_t^{t+\delta} f(X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{1,t,x}, Y_r^{2,t,x}, Z_r^{1,t,x})dr + u(t+\delta, X_{t+\delta}^{t,x})]; \\ v(t,x) &= E[\textstyle\int_t^{t+\delta} g(X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{1,t,x}, Y_r^{2,t,x}, Z_r^{2,t,x})dr + v(t+\delta, X_{t+\delta}^{t,x})]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Notice that $\Gamma_1 \geq u, \Gamma_2 \geq v$ and $(\Gamma_1(t,x), \Gamma_2(t_0,x_0)) = (u(t,x), v(t_0,x_0))$, by comparison Theorem 2.7, we can compare equations (3.3) and (3.9), thus

$$Y_t^{1,0} \geq u(t,x) = \Gamma_1(t,x).$$

Then, by Lemma 3.4, we have

$$Y_t^1 = Y_t^{1,0} - \Gamma_1(t,x) \geq 0.$$

By Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6, we get

$$Y_t^{1,1} \geq -C\delta^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

and

$$Y_t^{0,1} \geq -C\delta^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Thus

$$-C\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \delta^{-1} Y_t^{0,1} = \delta^{-1}\textstyle\int_t^{t+\delta} F_{11}(r, x, Y_r^{0,1}, Y_r^{0,2}, 0)dr; \tag{3.10}$$

Letting $\delta \to 0$, we get

$$\partial_t \Gamma_1(t,x) + \mathcal{L}\Gamma_1(t,x) + f(x, \Gamma_1(t,x), \Gamma_2(t,x), \sigma(x)\partial_x \Gamma_1(t,x)) \geq 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Similarly, using the same method as above, we consider the following BSDEs:

$$\begin{aligned} u(t_0,x_0) &= E[\textstyle\int_{t_0}^{t_0+\delta} f(X_r^{t_0,x_0}, Y_r^{1,t_0,x_0}, Y_r^{2,t_0,x_0}, Z_r^{1,t_0,x_0})dr + u(t_0+\delta, X_{t_0+\delta}^{t_0,x_0})]; \\ v(t_0,x_0) &= E[\textstyle\int_{t_0}^{t+\delta} g(X_r^{t_0,x_0}, Y_r^{1,t_0,x_0}, Y_r^{2,t_0,x_0}, Z_r^{2,t_0,x_0})dr + v(t_0+\delta, X_{t_0+\delta}^{t_0,x_0})], \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

and which deduce that

$$-C\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \delta^{-1} Y_{t_0}^{0,2} = \delta^{-1} \textstyle\int_{t_0}^{t_0+\delta} F_{12}(r, x_0, Y_r^{0,1}, Y_r^{0,2}, 0)dr; \tag{3.13}$$

Letting $\delta \to 0$, we have

$$\partial_t \Gamma_2(t_0,x_0) + \mathcal{L}\Gamma_2(t_0,x_0) + g(x_0, \Gamma_1(t_0,x_0), \Gamma_2(t_0,x_0), \sigma(x_0)\partial_x \Gamma_2(t_0,x_0)) \leq 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Thus, we complete the proof for this assertion.

Step 2: Next, using the same method as above, we can prove (u,v) is the viscosity supersolution of equation (3.1). Thus, (u,v) is viscosity solution of equation (3.1).

This completes the proof. □

4 Uniqueness of viscosity solution

Based on the results in Section 3, we are now at the stage to prove that the solutions of BSDEs (2.2) is the unique viscosity solution of the multidimensional PDEs (3.1). Following the Definition 3.2, once we prove that the solutions of BSDEs (2.2) is the maximum visocisty subsolution and minimum viscosity supersolution of PDEs (3.1), then, any viscosity solution of PDEs (3.1) must be the solution of BSDEs (2.2). In addition, we need the following assumption for proving the uniqueness results.

Assumption 4.1 *Let f and g satisfy the following monotonic conditions, and there exist constants $C_2 > C_1 > 0$ such that*

$$f(x, y_{11}, y_{12}, z) - f(x, y_{21}, y_{22}, z) \leq C_2(y_{21} - y_{11}) + C_1(y_{12} - y_{22});$$

$$g(x, y_{11}, y_{12}, z) - g(x, y_{21}, y_{22}, z) \leq C_2(y_{22} - y_{12}) + C_1(y_{11} - y_{21}),$$

with $y_1 = (y_{11}, y_{12}), y_2 = (y_{21}, y_{22}), \forall (x, y_1, y_2, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Before proving the main results of this section, let us show some preliminary results. Firstly, we will construct some smooth functions which are used to approximate b, σ, f, g, Φ. We denote

$$\psi_\varepsilon(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon^2}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2\varepsilon^2}},\ y \in \mathbb{R},$$

and the convolution of $b, \sigma, f, g, \Phi^1, \Phi^2$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
b_\varepsilon(x) = &\int_{\mathbb{R}} b(x^0)\psi_\varepsilon(x^0-x)dx^0;\\
\sigma_\varepsilon(x) = &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(x^0)\psi_\varepsilon(x^0-x)dx^0;\\
\Phi^1_\varepsilon(x) = &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi^1(x^0)\psi_\varepsilon(x^0-x)dx^0;\\
\Phi^2_\varepsilon(x) = &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi^2(x^0)\psi_\varepsilon(x^0-x)dx^0;\\
f_\varepsilon(x,y_1,y_2,z) = &\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \big[f(x,y_1,y_2,z)\psi_\varepsilon(x^0-x)\\
&\times\psi_\varepsilon(y_1^0-y_1)\psi_\varepsilon(y_2^0-y_2)\psi_\varepsilon(z^0-z)\big]dx^0dy_1^0dy_2^0dz^0;\\
g_\varepsilon(x,y_1,y_2,z) = &\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \big[g(x,y_1,y_2,z)\psi_\varepsilon(x^0-x)\\
&\times\psi_\varepsilon(y_1^0-y_1)\psi_\varepsilon(y_2^0-y_2)\psi_\varepsilon(z^0-z)\big]dx^0dy_1^0dy_2^0dz^0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

with $(x, y_1, y_2, z) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$. Thus, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2 *There is constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&|b_\varepsilon(x) - b(x)| + |\sigma_\varepsilon(x) - \sigma(x)| \le C\varepsilon;\\
&|\Phi^1_\varepsilon(x) - \Phi^1(x)| + |\Phi^2_\varepsilon(x) - \Phi^2(x)| \le C\varepsilon;\\
&|f_\varepsilon(x,y_1,y_2,z) - f(x,y_1,y_2,z)| \le C\varepsilon;\\
&|g_\varepsilon(x,y_1,y_2,z) - g(x,y_1,y_2,z)| \le C\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

$\forall (x, y_1, y_2, z) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$.

Proof: We just prove the first inequality. Similarly, we can obtain the other inequalities. By equation (4.1), for fixed x, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|b_\varepsilon(x) - b(x)|\\
\le\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \big|b(x^0) - b(x)\big| \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon^2}} e^{-\frac{(x^0-x)^2}{2\varepsilon^2}} dx^0\\
\le\ &C\int_{\mathbb{R}} \big|x^0 - x\big| \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon^2}} e^{-\frac{(x^0-x)^2}{2\varepsilon^2}} dx^0\\
=\ &C\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{x}^0| \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\tilde{x}^0)^2}{2}} d\tilde{x}^0\\
\le\ &C\varepsilon
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where C will change line by line.

This completes the proof. □

Remark 4.3 *Notice that $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$, by the property of convolution, we obtain that $b_\varepsilon, \sigma_\varepsilon, \Phi^1_\varepsilon, \Phi^1_\varepsilon$ satisfy Assumption 2.8, $f_\varepsilon, g_\varepsilon$ satisfy Assumption 2.9, thus we can use the results of [22].*

Now, we show the uniqueness results for the viscosity solution of equation (3.1).

Theorem 4.4 *Let b, σ satisfy Assumptions 2.1; f, g satisfy Assumptions 2.3, 2.4 and 4.1. Then (u, v) is the unique viscosity solution of the semi-linear PDEs (3.1).*

Proof: By Theorem 3.9, we obtain the following step:

Step 1. The solution of BSDEs (2.1) and (2.2) (u, v) is the viscosity solution of the semi-linear PDEs (3.1).

Step 2. We now prove that the solution (u, v) is the maximum viscosity subsolution and minimum viscosity supersolution of PDEs (3.1).

Let us consider the following forward backward systems, for $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&dX^{t,x}_{\varepsilon,s} = b_\varepsilon(X^{t,x}_{\varepsilon,s})ds + \sigma_\varepsilon(X^{t,x}_{\varepsilon,s})dW_s;\\
&dY^{1,t,x}_{\varepsilon,s} = -f_\varepsilon(X^{t,x}_{\varepsilon,s}, Y^{t,x}_{\varepsilon,s}, Z^{1,t,x}_{\varepsilon,s})ds + Z^{1,t,x}_{\varepsilon,s}dW_s;\\
&dY^{2,t,x}_{\varepsilon,s} = -g_\varepsilon(X^{t,x}_{\varepsilon,s}, Y^{t,x}_{\varepsilon,s}, Z^{2,t,x}_{\varepsilon,s})ds + Z^{2,t,x}_{\varepsilon,s}dW_s;\\
&X^{t,x}_{\varepsilon,t} = x,\ Y^{1,t,x}_{\varepsilon,T} = \Phi^1_\varepsilon(X^{t,x}_{\varepsilon,T}),\ Y^{2,t,x}_{\varepsilon,T} = \Phi^2_\varepsilon(X^{t,x}_{\varepsilon,T}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $Y^{t,x}_\varepsilon = (Y^{1,t,x}_\varepsilon, Y^{2,t,x}_\varepsilon)$. By Remark 4.3, $b_\varepsilon, \sigma_\varepsilon, \Phi^1_\varepsilon, \Phi^1_\varepsilon$ satisfy Assumption 2.8, $f_\varepsilon, g_\varepsilon$ satisfy Assumption 2.9. For given initial data (t, x), we define

$$u_\varepsilon(t, x) := Y^{1,t,x}_{\varepsilon,t},\ v_\varepsilon(t, x) := Y^{2,t,x}_{\varepsilon,t}.$$

Then, by Theorem 2.7, we obtain $u_\varepsilon(t, x), u_\varepsilon(t, x) \in \mathcal{C}^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ is the classical solution of the following PDEs:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t u_\varepsilon(t, x) + \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon(t, x) + f_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon, \sigma_\varepsilon(x)\partial_x u_\varepsilon(t, x)) = 0;\\
&u_\varepsilon(T, x) = \Phi^1_\varepsilon(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R};\\
&\partial_t v_\varepsilon(t, x) + \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon(t, x) + g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon, \sigma_\varepsilon(x)\partial_x v_\varepsilon(t, x)) = 0;\\
&v_\varepsilon(T, x) = \Phi^2_\varepsilon(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_\varepsilon)^2\partial_{xx} + b_\varepsilon(x)\partial_x,$$

and

$$u_\varepsilon(s, X^{t,x}_{\varepsilon,s}) = Y^{1,t,x}_{\varepsilon,s},\ v_\varepsilon(s, X^{t,x}_{\varepsilon,s}) = Y^{2,t,x}_{\varepsilon,s}.$$

By Lemma 4.2 and Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3, there is a constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t u_\varepsilon(t, x) + \mathcal{L} u_\varepsilon(t, x) + f(x, u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon, \sigma(x)\partial_x u_\varepsilon(t, x)) \le C\varepsilon;\\
&\partial_t v_\varepsilon(t, x) + \mathcal{L} v_\varepsilon(t, x) + g(x, u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon, \sigma(x)\partial_x v_\varepsilon(t, x)) \le C\varepsilon,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$|u(t,x)-u_\varepsilon(t,x)|+|v(t,x)-v_\varepsilon(t,x)|\le C\varepsilon. \tag{4.5}$$

Then, by inequality (4.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t u_\varepsilon(t,x)+\mathcal{L}u_\varepsilon(t,x)+f(x,u,v,\sigma(x)\partial_x u_\varepsilon(t,x))\le C\varepsilon;\\&\partial_t v_\varepsilon(t,x)+\mathcal{L}v_\varepsilon(t,x)+g(x,u,v,\sigma(x)\partial_x v_\varepsilon(t,x))\le C\varepsilon.\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Now, let us assume that (u_1,v_1) is one of the viscosity subsolution of PDEs (3.1), and recall that (u,v) is the viscosity solution of PDEs (3.1). Since $u_\varepsilon,u_1,v_\varepsilon,v_1$ are continuous functions in $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}$, we suppose that $u_\varepsilon+\alpha q_1(t,x)-u_1$ and $v_\varepsilon+\alpha q_2(t,x)-v_1$ take minimum values K_1^α and K_2^α in $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}$ at (t_1,x_1) and (t_2,x_2), where $0<\alpha$ is a constant, and $0\le q_1,q_2\in\mathcal{C}^{1,2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$.

If $K_1^\alpha,K_2^\alpha\ge 0$, by inequality (4.5), we have for any $(t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}&u(t,x)+\alpha q_1(t,x)-u_1(t,x)\\ \ge\ & u_\varepsilon(t,x)+\alpha q_1(t,x)-u_1(t,x)+C\varepsilon\\ \ge\ & u_\varepsilon(t_1,x_1)+\alpha q_1(t_1,x_1)-u_1(t_1,x_1)+C\varepsilon\\ =\ & K_1^\alpha+C\varepsilon\\ \ge\ & C\varepsilon.\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Letting $\varepsilon,\alpha\to 0$, thus, $u(t,x)-u_1(t,x)\ge 0$, similarly we have $v(t,x)-v_1(t,x)\ge 0$.

It is easy to verify that K_1^α,K_2^α are decreasing in α. We next show that the limitation of K_1^α,K_2^α exist and larger than 0, denote

$$\bar u_\varepsilon(t,x)=u_\varepsilon(t,x)+\alpha q_1(t,x)-K_1^\alpha,\ \bar v_\varepsilon(t,x)=v_\varepsilon(t,x)+\alpha q_2(t,x)-K_2^\alpha. \tag{4.8}$$

Therefore, by inequality (4.6) we have the following equality about $\bar u_\varepsilon(t,x),\bar v_\varepsilon(t,x)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t\bar u_\varepsilon(t_1,x_1)+\mathcal{L}\bar u_\varepsilon(t_1,x_1)+f(x_1,u,v,\sigma(x_1)\partial_x\bar u_\varepsilon(t_1,x_1))\le C(\varepsilon+\alpha);\\&\partial_t\bar v_\varepsilon(t_2,x_2)+\mathcal{L}\bar v_\varepsilon(t_2,x_2)+g(x_2,u,v,\sigma(x_2)\partial_x\bar v_\varepsilon(t_2,x_2))\le C(\varepsilon+\alpha).\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Notice that, (u_1,v_1) is the viscosity subsolution of PDEs (3.1), by equation (4.8) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t\bar u_\varepsilon(t_1,x_1)+\mathcal{L}\bar u_\varepsilon(t_1,x_1)+f(x_1,\bar u_\varepsilon,\bar v_\varepsilon,\sigma(x_1)\partial_x\bar u_\varepsilon(t_1,x_1))\ge 0;\\&\partial_t\bar v_\varepsilon(t_2,x_2)+\mathcal{L}\bar v_\varepsilon(t_2,x_2)+g(x_2,\bar u_\varepsilon,\bar v_\varepsilon,\sigma(x_2)\partial_x\bar v_\varepsilon(t_2,x_2))\ge 0.\end{aligned}$$

By equation (4.8) and inequality (4.5), there exists constant C yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t\bar u_\varepsilon(t_1,x_1)+\mathcal{L}\bar u_\varepsilon(t_1,x_1)+f(x_1,u-K_1^\alpha,v-K_2^\alpha,\sigma(x_1)\partial_x\bar u_\varepsilon(t_1,x_1))\ge -C(\varepsilon+\alpha);\\&\partial_t\bar v_\varepsilon(t_2,x_2)+\mathcal{L}\bar v_\varepsilon(t_2,x_2)+g(x_2,u-K_1^\alpha,v-K_2^\alpha,\sigma(x_2)\partial_x\bar v_\varepsilon(t_2,x_2))\ge -C(\varepsilon+\alpha).\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Then, by Assumption 2.3, f, g are Lipschatiz continuous functions. Combining equations (4.9) and (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &f(x_1, u - K_1^\alpha, v - K_2^\alpha, \sigma(x_1)\partial_x \bar{u}_\varepsilon(t_1, x_1)) \geq f(x_1, u, v, \sigma(x_1)\partial_x \bar{u}_\varepsilon(t_1, x_1)) - 2C(\varepsilon + \alpha); \\ &g(x_2, u - K_1^\alpha, v - K_2^\alpha, \sigma(x_2)\partial_x \bar{u}_\varepsilon(t_2, x_2)) \geq g(x_2, u, v, \sigma(x_2)\partial_x \bar{u}_\varepsilon(t_2, x_2)) - 2C(\varepsilon + \alpha). \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

By Assumptions 2.3 and 4.1, there exists Lipschatiz constants $C_2 > C_1 > 0$ such that

$$f(x_1, u - K_1^\alpha, v - K_2^\alpha, \sigma(x_1)\partial_x \bar{u}_\varepsilon(t_1, x_1)) - f(x_1, u, v, \sigma(x_1)\partial_x \bar{u}_\varepsilon(t_1, x_1)) \leq C_2 K_1^\alpha - C_1 K_2^\alpha;$$

$$g(x_2, u - K_1^\alpha, v - K_2^\alpha, \sigma(x_2)\partial_x \bar{u}_\varepsilon(t_2, x_2)) - g(x_2, u, v, \sigma(x_2)\partial_x \bar{u}_\varepsilon(t_2, x_2)) \leq C_2 K_2^\alpha - C_1 K_1^\alpha.$$

which deduce that

$$-2C(\varepsilon + \alpha) \leq C_2 K_1^\alpha - C_1 K_2^\alpha;$$

$$-2C(\varepsilon + \alpha) \leq C_2 K_2^\alpha - C_1 K_1^\alpha,$$

Thus,

$$-4C(\varepsilon + \alpha) \leq (C_2 - C_1)(K_1^\alpha + K_2^\alpha).$$

Let $\varepsilon, \alpha \to 0$, notice that K_1^α, K_2^α are decreasing in α, thus, the limitation of K_1^α, K_2^α exist, and we obtain

$$0 \leq K_1 + K_2.$$

where $K_1 = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} K_1^\alpha$, $K_2 = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} K_2^\alpha$.

Now, let us assume $K_1 \cdot K_2 \leq 0$, if $K_1 = K_2 = 0$, then we obatin the assertion. Thus, we only need consider the case: $K_1 \geq 0$, $K_2 < 0$ or $K_2 \geq 0$, $K_1 < 0$, let ε, α small enough, by Assumption 4.1, we have if $K_1 \geq 0$, $K_2 < 0$

$$g(x_2, u - K_1^\alpha, v - K_2^\alpha, \sigma(x_2)\partial_x \bar{u}_\varepsilon(t_2, x_2)) - g(x_2, u, v, \sigma(x_2)\partial_x \bar{u}_\varepsilon(t_2, x_2)) < 0,$$

similarly, if $K_2 \geq 0$, $K_1 < 0$, thus

$$f(x_1, u - K_1^\alpha, v - K_2^\alpha, \sigma(x_1)\partial_x \bar{u}_\varepsilon(t_1, x_1)) - f(x_1, u, v, \sigma(x_1)\partial_x \bar{u}_\varepsilon(t_1, x_1)) < 0,$$

which is contrary to the inequality (4.11). Therefore, we obtain that for any $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$u_1(t, x) \leq u(t, x), \ v_1(t, x) \leq v(t, x).$$

Thus, we prove that (u, v) is the maximum viscosity subsolution of PDEs (3.1). Using the similar method as above, we can prove that (u, v) is the minimum viscosity supersolution of PDEs (3.1).

Step 3. Let us assume that (u_2, v_2) is the viscosity solution of PDEs (3.1). Thus, (u_2, v_2) is the viscosity subsolution and supersolution of PDEs (3.1), following the results of Step 2, we have

$$u_2(t, x) \leq u(t, x) \leq u_2(t, x), \ v_2(t, x) \leq v(t, x) \leq v_2(t, x),$$

thus, $u_2 = u, \ v_2 = v$, for any $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$.

This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 4.5 *Now, let us extend our model as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} dX_s^{t,x} &= b(s, X_s^{t,x})ds + \sigma(s, X_s^{t,x})dW_s, \\ X_t^{t,x} &= x, \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} dY_s^{1,t,x} &= -f_1(s, X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{1,t,x})ds + Z_s^{1,t,x}dW_s; \\ \cdots &\quad \cdots \\ dY_s^{i,t,x} &= -f_i(s, X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{i,t,x})ds + Z_s^{i,t,x}dW_s; \\ \cdots &\quad \cdots \\ dY_s^{n,t,x} &= -f_n(s, X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{n,t,x})ds + Z_s^{n,t,x}dW_s; \\ Y_T^{i,t,x} &= \Phi^i(X_T^{t,x}),\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

where $Y^{t,x} = (Y^{1,t,x}, \cdots, Y^{i,t,x}, \cdots, Y^{n,t,x})$ *and*

$$b, \sigma : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n;$$

$$f_i : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R};$$

$$\Phi^i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R},$$

define that

$$u_i(t, x) = Y_t^{i,t,x},\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

Thus, the related semi-linear PDEs are

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t u_i(t, x) + \mathcal{L}u_i(t, x) + f_i(x, u, \sigma^T(x)\partial_x u_i(t, x)) = 0; \\ &u_i(T, x) = \Phi^i(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n, \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

where $u = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n)$ *and*

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma\sigma^T(t, x)\partial_{xx} + b(t, x)\partial_x.$$

Notice that, if we suppose that b, σ, f_i, Φ^i *satisfy the same conditions as in this study, we can prove that* u *is the unique viscosity solution of PDEs (4.14).*

A The proof of Theorem 2.7

Proof: By the basic theory of BSDEs with coefficients are Lipschtiz continuous and linear growth in (y, z), equations (2.3) and (2.4) have unique solution, we refer [9] and [21] for basic theory of BSDEs.

Therefore, we denote the solutions of equations (2.3) and (2.4) as (Y_i, Z_i). Setting $\hat{Y}_i = Y_{i,2} - Y_{i,1}$, $\hat{Z}_i = Z_{i,2} - Z_{i,1}$, $\hat{f}(\cdot) = f_2 - f_1$, $\hat{\xi}_i = \xi_{i,2} - \xi_{i,1}, i = 1, 2;\ \hat{Z} = (\hat{Z}_1, \hat{Z}_2)$.

Firstly, we consider equation (2.3), $(\hat{Y}_1, \hat{Z}_1)$ satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Y}_1(t) = \quad & \hat{\xi}_1 + \int_t^T [\hat{f}(Y_1(s), Z_{1,1}(s)) + f_2(Y_{1,1}(s), Y_{2,2}(s), Z_{1,1}(s)) - f_2(Y_{1,1}(s), Y_{2,1}(s), Z_{1,1}(s)) \\ & + a(s)\hat{Y}_1(s) + b(s)\hat{Z}_1(s)]ds - \int_t^T \hat{Z}_1(s)dW(s),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}a(s) &= \begin{cases} \frac{f_2(Y_{1,2}(s)) - f_2(Y_{1,1}(s))}{Y_{1,2}(s) - Y_{1,1}(s)}, & Y_{1,2}(s) - Y_{1,1}(s) \neq 0 \\ 0, & Y_{1,2}(s) - Y_{1,1}(s) = 0 \end{cases}; \\ b(s) &= \begin{cases} \frac{f_2(Z_{1,2}(s)) - f_2(Z_{1,1}(s))}{Z_{1,2}(s) - Z_{1,1}(s)}, & Z_{1,2}(s) - Z_{1,1}(s) \neq 0 \\ 0, & Z_{1,2}(s) - Z_{1,1}(s) = 0 \end{cases}.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that f_2 satisfies Lipschitz condition and $f_2 \geq f_1$, thus $|a(s)| + |b(s)| \leq 2C$ and $\hat{f}(Y_1(s), Z_{1,1}(s)) = f_2(Y_{1,1}(s), Y_{2,1}(s), Z_{1,1}(s)) - f_1(Y_{1,1}(s), Y_{2,1}(s), Z_{1,1}(s)) \geq 0$.

Consider the following SDE:

$$\begin{aligned}& dX(s) = -X(s)a(s)ds + X(s)b(s)dW(s); \\ & X(0) = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Applying Itô formula to $\hat{Y}_1(t)X(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Y}_1(t)X(t) - \hat{\xi}_1 X(T) = \quad & \int_t^T [\hat{f}(Y_1(s), Z_{1,1}(s)) + f_2(Y_{1,1}(s), Y_{2,2}(s), Z_{1,1}(s)) \\ & - f_2(Y_{1,1}(s), Y_{2,1}(s), Z_{1,1}(s))]X(s)ds \\ & - \int_t^T Z_{1,1}(s)X(s)dW(s) + \int_t^T \hat{Y}_1(s)X(s)b(s)dW(s).\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Taking conditional expectation on both side of equation (A.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}& (Y_{1,2}(t) - Y_{1,1}(t))X(t) - E[\textstyle\int_t^T [f_2(Y_{1,1}(s), Y_{2,2}(s), Z_{1,1}(s)) - f_2(Y_{1,1}(s), Y_{2,1}(s), Z_{1,1}(s))]X(s)ds \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \\ = \quad & E[\hat{\xi}_1 X(T) + \textstyle\int_t^T \hat{f}(Y_1(s), Z_{1,1})(s)X(s)ds \mid \mathcal{F}_t],\end{aligned}$$

which deduce that

$$(Y_{1,2}(t) - Y_{1,1}(t))X(t) - E[\textstyle\int_t^T [f_2(Y_{1,1}(s), Y_{2,2}(s), Z_{1,1}(s)) - f_2(Y_{1,1}(s), Y_{2,1}(s), Z_{1,1}(s))]X(s)ds \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \geq 0.$$

For convenience, we denote

$$f_2(Y_{2,2}(s)) = f_2(Y_{1,1}(s), Y_{2,2}(s), Z_{1,1}(s)); \quad f_2(Y_{2,1}(s)) = f_2(Y_{1,1}(s), Y_{2,1}(s), Z_{1,1}(s)),$$

thus

$$Y_{1,2}(t) - Y_{1,1}(t) \geq E[\int_t^T [f_2(Y_{2,2}(s)) - f_2(Y_{2,1}(s))]\frac{X(s)}{X(t)}ds \mid \mathcal{F}_t]. \tag{A.2}$$

Using the same method as above, we have

$$Y_{2,2}(t) - Y_{2,1}(t) \geq E[\int_t^T [g_2(Y_{1,2}(s)) - g_2(Y_{1,1}(s))]\frac{\bar{X}(s)}{\bar{X}(t)}ds \mid \mathcal{F}_t], \tag{A.3}$$

where

$$g_2(Y_{1,2}(s)) = g_2(Y_{1,2}(s), Y_{2,2}(s), Z_{2,1}(s));$$

$$g_2(Y_{1,1}(s)) = g_2(Y_{1,1}(s), Y_{2,2}(s), Z_{2,1}(s)),$$

the $\bar{X}(s)$ is the exponential martingale similar with $X(s)$, $0 \leq s \leq T$.

From equations (A.2) and (A.3), we have $Y_{1,2}(T) \geq Y_{1,1}(T)$ and $Y_{2,2}(T) \geq Y_{2,1}(T)$. By Assumption 2.4, f_2 is non-decreasing in the second dimension of argument, g_2 is non-decreasing in the first dimension of argument, by Gronwall inequality, we get

$$Y_{1,2}(t) \geq Y_{1,1}(t), \quad Y_{2,2}(t) \geq Y_{2,1}(t).$$

This completes the proof. □

References

[1] J. M. Bismut (1973), Conjugate convex functions in optimal stochastic control, J. Math. Ana. Appl., 44, 384–404.

[2] R. Buckdahn and J. Li (2008), Stochastic differential games and viscosity solutions for Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman–Isaacs equations, SIAM J Control Optim., 47, 444–475.

[3] R. Buckdahna, B. Labedb, C. Rainera and L. Tamer (2010), Existence of an optimal control for stochastic control systems with nonlinear cost functional, Stochastics, An International Journal of Probability and Stochastics Processes, 82, 241–256.

[4] R. Buckdahn, Y. Hu (2010), Probabilistic interpretation of a coupled system of HamiltonCJacobiCBellman equations, J. Evol. Equ. 10, 529–549.

[5] M. G. Crandall, H. Ishii, and P. L. Lions (1992), Users guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations. Bullet. Amer. Math. Soc., 27, 1–67.

[6] M. G. Crandall and P. L. Lions (1983). Viscosity solutions of hamilton-jacobi equations. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 277, 1–42.

[7] F. Coquet, Y. Hu, J. Mémin and S. Peng (2002), Filtration consistent nonlinearexpectations and related g-expectations, Probab. Theory Related Fields, 23, 1–27.

[8] D. Duffie and L. Epstein (1992), Stochastic differential utility, Econometrica, 60, pp. 353–394.

[9] N. El-Karoui, S. Peng and M.-C. Quenez (1997), Backward stochastic differential equations in Finance. Math. Finance, 7, 1–71.

[10] W. H. Fleming, and H. M. Soner, Control Markov processes and Viscosity Solutions (New York:Springer Verlag).

[11] S. Hamadène (2003), Multidimensional backward stochastic differential equations with uniformly continuous coefficients. Bernoulli 9(3), 517–534.

[12] S. Hamadène, J.P. Lepeltier and S. Peng (1997), BSDE with continuous coefficients and application to Markovian nonzero sum stochastic differential games. In N. El-Karoui and L. Mazliak (eds) Backward Stochastic Differential Equations, Pitman Res. Notes in Math. Ser. 364. Harlow: Longman.

[13] H. Ishii, S. Koike (1991), Viscosity solutions for monotone systems of second-order elliptic PDEs, Comm. in Partial Differential Equations, 16(6-7), 1095-1128.

[14] S. Koike (1994), Uniqueness of viscosity solutions for monotone systems of fully nonlinear PDEs under Dirichlet condition, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Appl., 22(4), 519–532.

[15] P.L. Lions, (1979), Control of diffusion processes in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 34, 121–147.

[16] P.L. Lions, (1982), Generalized solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. Pitman, London.

[17] P.L. Lions, (1983), On the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations. Acta Appl. Math., 1, 17–41.

[18] P.L. Lions, (1983), Optimal control of diffusion processes and Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations. Part 2. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 8, 1229–1276.

[19] E. Pardoux, F. Pradeilles, Z. Rao (1997), Probabilistic interpretation of a system of semi-linear parabolic partial differential equations, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Probab. Statist. 33, 467–490.

[20] E. Pardoux and S. Peng (1993), Backward stochastic equations and quasilinear parabolic partial differential equation, In: Rozuvskii, B.L., Soeers, R.B.(eds) Stochastic partial diferential equation and their applications. (Lect. Notes Control Inf. Sci., vol. 176, 200–217) Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer.

[21] E. Pardoux and S. Peng (1990), Adapted solutions of backward stochastic equations, Syst Control Lett., 14, 55–61.

[22] E. Pardoux and S. Peng (1992), Backward stochastic differential equations and quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations. In B. Rozovskii and R. Sowers (eds), Stochastic Differential Equations and their Applications, Lecture Notes in Control and Inform. Sci. 176, 200–217. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

[23] E. Pardoux and S. Peng (1994) Some backward stochastic differential equations with non-Lipschitz coefficients. Prépublication URA 225 No. 94–03, Université de Provence.

[24] S. Peng (1991), Probabilistic interpretation for systems of quaslinear parabolic partial differential equation, stochastic, 37, 61–74.

[25] S. Peng (1997), Backward stochastic differential equations—stochastic optimization theory and viscosity solutions of HJB equations, in Topics on Stochastic Analysis, J. Yan, S. Peng, S. Fang, and L. Wu, eds., Science Press, Beijing, 85–138 (in Chinese).

[26] S. Peng (1992), A generalized dynamic programming principle and Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellmen equation, Stochastics Stochastics Rep., 38, 119–134.

[27] Q. Lin (2015), Nash equilibrium payoffs for stochastic differential games with jumps and coupled non-linear cost functionals, 125, 4405–4454.

[28] Z. Wu and Z. Yu (2008), Dynamic programming principle for one kind of stochastic recursive optimal control problem and Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation, SIAM J.Control Optim., 47, 2616–2641.

[29] J. Yong and X. Y. Zhou (1999), Stochastic controls: Hamiltonian systems and HJB equations.